

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

3100 Port of Benton Blvd • Richland, WA 99352 • (509) 372-7950

November 12, 2004

Ms. Stacy Charboneau Richland Operations Office United States Department of Energy P.O. Box 550, MSIN: A5-11 Richland, Washington 99352 RECEIVED NOV 2 3 2004

EDMC

Dear Ms. Charboneau:

Re: Ecology Review Comments on the Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for the Plutonium Finishing Plant Above-Grade Structures

This letter transmits the Washington State Department of Ecology review comments (attached) for the above referenced Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis document. Should you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact me at 372-7881.

Sincerely,

Jeff Ayres

Environmental Scientist Nuclear Waste Program

jma/nc

cc: Andrea Hopkins, FH

Stuart Harris, CTUIR

Pat Sobotta, NPT

Russell Jim, YN

Todd Martin, HAB

Ken Niles, ODOE

Administrative Record

Environmental Portal

Washington Department of Ecology Review Comments (11/10/04) for the Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for the Plutonium Finishing Plant Above-Grade Structures

Daga	Comment	Response
Page 1-2, Last Par, 2 nd Sent.	Delete sentence "Currently, there are no"	Response
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1-3, 1 st full Par	Reference closure plans for 241-Z	
1-3, Last Par, 1 st Sent.	Delete sufficiently and replace with "determined to be"	·
TE1 1 041 77		
T1-1, 241-Z	Footnote this as a TSD	
2-2, 2.1.1, Last Par,	Change "are scheduled to be" to	
last Sent. 2-3,2.1.4, 2 nd Par.	"was completed"	
2-3,2,1.4, 2 Par.	What will be done with contaminated soils	
2 4 2 1 4 Tort Don	(put back in the ground)? Are SHPO activities pending or in process?	
2-4, 2.1.4 Last Par, 2-5, 2.2.1.1, 2 nd Par.	\	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2-3, 2.2.1.1, 2 Par.	Delete this paragraph and anything on soil removal	·
2 10 22 1 St D		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2-18, 2.3, 1 st Par 2-18, 2 nd Par	Delete information on the crib and soils	
2-18, 2 Par	Describe how the piping, etc. will be	
,	managed as RCRA debris and the disposal	
2 10 D 2	process Defended the plan word to designed and	
2-18, Par 3	Reference the plan used to designate and	
T2-1,	dispose of the hazardous/mixed waste.	
	Reference the Closure Plan in the footnotes	
T2-4, 241-Z	Check quantities (seems low) and the	
•	different waste types doesn't appear to be	
4-1, 4 th Par	Correct Discuss other (IDE) discuss outlines	
4-1, 4 Par 4-2, 1 st Par, Next to	Discuss other (IDF) disposal options	
last sent.	Change sentence to read "or stored at	
lasi sent.	CWC until it is properly treated for disposal."	
4-2, 3 rd Par, 3 rd Sent	Are ETF, CWC, LLBG, ERDF completely	
4-2,5 Fai,5 Sent	permitted or are the permits in process and	
	they are working under final facilities	
	status?	
4-2, 3 rd Par, Last Sent.	This sentence reads poorly and doesn't seem	
4-2, 5 I M, Edist Oolic.	to make sense.	
4-5, 4.4, 1 st bullet	Reference a clean up standard (clean debris	
4-5, 4.4, 1 bunct	surface?)	
4-6, 1 st bullet	Reference ARAR for decontamination	
4-6, 5 th bullet	Reference WAC for waste disposal	
4-6, 7 th bullet	Describe "cover" (concrete poured over a	
4-0, 7 bunot	slab for stability and safety?)	
4-6, 1 st Par,	Reference the Holdup Removal Plan	
4-6, 2 nd Par	Reference decontamination standards	
4-6, last Par, 1 st Sent	Delete word "grout"	
5-2, 3 rd Par, 1 st Sent	Sentence reads awkward, rewrite	
5-3, 5.1.2.1, 4 th Par	Reference asbestos disposal regs	
5-4, 5.1.3, Last Sent	Preface this sentence with "It is anticipated	
o r, J.1.J, Last Som	that there would"	
5-5, 1 st Par, 1 st Sent	Delete word "barrier"	
T5-1, ARAR Table	Delete subsections in references, not all	
13-1, AKAK TAUK	applicable ARARs are referenced.	
	applicable ANAINS are referenced.	<u> </u>